Major Ugandan Cotton Stakeholders

Before leaving Uganda, I made sure to meet with key stakeholders of not just organic cotton, but the cotton sector as a whole. This included the Cotton Development Organization (CDO), a semi-autonomous agency mandated by the government to promote the cotton sector. The CDO aims to make cotton profitable for the approximately 400,000 cotton farmers, and to increase exports of not just cotton lint, but also textiles. The umbrella organization hovers over the liberalized cotton sector and seems to be struggling to cover all the farmers in sufficient inputs.

Various schemes have tried and failed to bolster the yields of Ugandan farmers that have been cited as some of the lowest in the world. Some of these farmers who are supposedly receiving subsidized pesticides from ginneries in actuality purchase full-price pesticides from local agro-dealers enough for a few sprays. Fertilizers are not even part of the discussion yet, as credit is not available. Meanwhile the CDO is critical of the organic cotton projects, insisting that there were no benefits to farmers, yields were low, research was insufficient, and that bluntly, the country will not be ready for certified organic cotton production in the near future.

On the other hand, (to be expected) my meeting with NOGAMU (National Organic Agriculture Movement of Uganda) cast a different light on organic cotton production. Our discussion focused on not just organic vs. conventional, but issues of farmer empowerment and participatory development. As far as the major debate and all of the politics surrounding organic cotton, the view was that farmers will decide what works for them and that, in the long run, we will see what production methods bring the greatest reward to smallholder farmers. Smallholder group certification as it exists today was seen not as top-down and excessively regulated, but instead, as a platform for farmer discussion, innovation dissemination, and overall development.

Lastly, a meeting with a USAID representative focused on the need to analyze every technology for its merit both in research and farmer plots. There is no silver bullet for smallholder farmer issues, and the hope is that every proposed solution - whether from chemical corporations, government agencies, or farmer organizations - be given adequate and equal attention before appropriate dissemination.

From field to fashionable T's: Phenix Logistics, Kampala

Traveling south to the capital, Kampala, I could not wait to tour one of the two operational textile mills in the country—and the only one processing organic fibers. After harvesting organic cotton and touring a ginnery, I needed to see the next step of the process. How does cotton lint become a T-shirt?

Lucky for me, Phenix Logistics is a very vertically-integrated textile mill where the cotton lint passes through many processes to the final stage of printing and labeling. It was a bit overwhelming, and quite clear that substantial capital was required for this operation. The factory rooms are impressive. First—workers painstakingly go through the raw lint cotton to remove any remaining debris (even a few rats can be found!). From here, some major machines from Germany, Switzerland, India and Japan take over: combing, twisting, winding, sewing, cutting, dying and drying machines are all manned by watchful workers as the T-Shirts take form. (cont pg 2)
Phenix Logistics (cont. from pg. 1)

My first question was—where does this cotton come from? The answer was surprising—in 2008 Phenix purchased in bulk from a Lira based company, during the peak of Ugandan organic cotton production. Apparently, lint cotton stores very well! The company must decide, however, if they are going to continue working with organic cotton or opt for conventional, as organic production in the country has waned. Either way, the processes are quite the same at this stage besides the certification process and regulations including using GOTS (global organic textile standards) approved chemicals.

We then discussed the company’s major challenges: namely working in a land-locked, electricity-challenged country...that now has a shortage of organic cotton lint.

Status of Bt Cotton in East Africa

Whenever the discussion of organic cotton arises, genetically-modified (GM) cotton is never far behind. While many consider GM a threat to organic and indigenous crops, non-cultivated plants, human health, our future, the planet, life, etc., just as many others believe deep down inside that GM is/can/will feed the world/future. Regardless of your stance or mine (neutral researcher!), I’d like to take this opportunity to give an update on Bt cotton in Uganda and Tanzania.

In Uganda Bt cotton has undergone two years of research trials at one site in Serere, but this past season research was halted. A lead researcher of Bt in the country informed me that results were quite inconclusive; morphologically and chemically the GM plants expressed themselves in unexpected ways. Hence, management became intensive at times, especially due to secondary pests. Recommendations were to undertake more research to determine how to manage Bt effectively at the smallholder farmer scale. My question is whether Bt will prove manageable for Ugandan farmers if it is even slightly unmanageable at the research level. Further, research has shown that if secondary pests (those not affected by the Bt toxin which targets namely bollworms) reach economic threshold levels that pesticide requirements for Bt cotton are not lower than conventional cotton (see Bingen 2008).

GM cotton is much more restricted in Tanzania, where strict liability clauses of environmental protection legislation supported by the office of the Vice President have so far kept Bt out of the research institutes. The country is a member of the Cartagena Protocol, and as such has strong bio-safety and biodiversity regulations. However, the office of the Prime Minister, including the Ministry of Agriculture (MOA), is pushing for rapid implementation of GM technology. The MOA sees Bt cotton as a major opportunity to increase cotton yields, which like Uganda, are quite low. For comparison, while GM technology was mentioned frequently in the MOA environmental action plan, organic was not mentioned once. The future of GM technology in Tanzania thereby hangs in the balance of this political debate.

USAID: Development Business

How does development happen today? A great chunk of “development” seems to be occurring as “private-public partnerships” (PPPs) that seek to find “sustainable,” “innovative” “solutions” to development priorities (as set by these PPPs). The thinking is that by involving private businesses seeking to make long-term profits in the development process, that these projects will have lasting benefits for residents or biodiversity (ideally both). However, as it appears to me, the status quo of this thinking is that local residents do not have much of a say in the setting of the development priorities or implementation of projects that may have great impacts on their livelihoods.

I have become an advisor of sorts for an agriculture development project in Northern Uganda, and as such attended a USAID interested-parties conference last month where private companies were informed of USAID’s broad development priorities: nutrition, food security, biodiversity and conservation. Companies then write grant proposals for at least $500,000, which they must meet with leverage of at least 1:1. The crowd was a mix of conservation and humanitarian NGOs, for-profit businesses, church groups, etc.—development’s usual suspects, but perhaps doing business in a new way?

Innovative, profitable, business solutions for development have certainly proved beneficial (micro-finance, cellular phone technologies)—but these partnerships may be missing one crucial link: local residents and their input.

There was no mention of any need to ensure local consent, input, or participation in order to receive funding assistance. Innovation is indeed important, but what about taking advantage of indigenous innovations and building on what is already successful? A representative of a smaller NGO asked how on earth they would be able to find half-a-million dollars, and it became clear that development is a very big business.

“...development’s usual suspects, but perhaps doing business in a new way?”

—from Tanzanian cotton pest control manual: scouting for bollworms

“We see that the first plant has no damage therefore we continue. Now like this, we will walk seven or eight steps, moving one plant until the next to undertake the scouting.”
Heading west from Dar es Salaam I spent some days in Morogoro at an organic agriculture farmer school while meeting with professors from Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA), the only agriculture university in the country. An Illini alumni, currently a professor of agricultural extension and education, has agreed to advise and facilitate my research in country. My discussions at SUA focused on research methodology and issues of farmer empowerment, as there is little research being done on organic agriculture, let alone organic cotton. I was also fortunate to also meet the director of the Ilonga Eastern cotton research institute before heading to the main cotton research institute in the country, Ukiriguru.

The western cotton growing region produces more than 90% of the country's cotton, so fittingly the central research station, as well as the new Cotton Development Trust Fund (CDTF), are situated in Mwanza. Ukiriguru has long been the center for cotton seed breeding, as well as agronomy, pathology, entomology and fiber trials. The goals are to produce high-quality, high-yielding varieties resistant to bacterial blight and fusarium wilt—that meet the demands of farmers, ginners, and buyers.

Pesticide and foliar fertilizer trials were visited, but there was no organic cotton research to be seen, nor does the research institute have any relations with the few organic cotton companies. Allocation of inputs, following three years of trials and approval by the Tropical Pesticide Research Institute (TPRI), may be improving in the coming years with complete adoption of contract farming. With assistance from Technoserve, Tanzania Gatsby Trust, the CDTF and the TCB, every single cotton farmer and ginner will be required by TCB policy to only buy or sell cotton within a contract (stay tuned...).